Exploring Satellite Bias Correction Methodologies for Numerical Weather Prediction within Theoretical and Operational Frameworks

Joseph Knisely University of Maryland Co-Author: Dr. Jonathan Poterjoy, University of Maryland Co-Author: Dr. Elizabeth Satterfield, Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey CA Co-Author: Dr. William Campbell, Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey CA



Motivation

- Satellite radiance assimilation requires bias correction
- Limited area models are often unable to perform bias estimation, and thus adopt bias correction parameters from an externally trained model
- Satellite radiance bias specification is strongly model dependent, as bias correction methods cannot easily separate model and observation biases
- Our research: test a fully-cycling clear-sky radiance bias correction method for Hurricane Analysis and Forecast System (HAFS) model



HAFS/GSI Data Assimilation

- FV3-SAR
- 6 km horizontal grid spacing
- 81 vertical levels, model top of 2 hpa
- GFSv16 Input Data, boundary conditions
- 3DEnVar every 6 hours; 80 ens members borrowed from GDAS
- Community Radiative Transfer Model (CRTM; Liu and Weng 2006)
- Tested over 5-week period during peak 2020 TC season







GSI Clear-sky VarBC

Bias-corrected $\longrightarrow \tilde{h}(\mathbf{x},\beta) = h(\mathbf{x}) + b(\mathbf{x},\beta)$ prior $b(\mathbf{x},\beta) = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-p} \beta_k p_k(\mathbf{x})$

Original obs-space prior

Bias model

Weighted sum of BC predictors

- Bias correction applied to the measurement operator $h(\mathbf{x})$
- For "online" experiment, weights (β_k) are estimated each analysis via variational framework (VarBC)
- For control experiment, these weights are adopted from external model (GDAS)



Online bias correction approach leads to improvements in TC track & intensity forecasting



• Improvement strengthens for storms with aircraft reconnaissance flight data

For more detail, check out:

"Implications of Self-Contained Radiance Bias Correction for Data Assimilation within the Hurricane Analysis and Forecasting System (HAFS)"

Joseph Knisely and Jonathan Poterjoy

Weather and Forecasting (Early Online Release)

DOI: 10.1175/WAF-D-23-0027.1



Current Research

- Bias correction schemes like VarBC correct to a model background
- With zero model error/bias, observation bias correction would be trivial
- When undiagnosed model bias is present, bias correction schemes tend to experience "bias reinforcement" (Dee 2005)
- By correcting model bias independently of obs bias correction, we can mitigate model bias reinforcement
- Strategy: first correct model bias with analysis increment statistics, then correct obs with innovation statistics



Lorenz 2005 Model III

- Simulates scalar quantity at one level and one latitude (Lorenz 2005)
- Model III simulates smooth planetary waves w/ small-scale activity superposed
- X describes large-scale, Y describes small-scale
- Replicates physical processes such as advection, damping, constant forcings, etc.





DA & Sources of Bias

- 500 ens member EnKF (Whitaker and Hamill 2001)
- Localization/inflation tuned to achieve optimal spread

Experiments are designed to simulate challenges in NWP, such as:

- Sparse and/or biased obs
- Land/ocean disparity
- "Anchor" obs
- Model bias
- Representativeness error



Panels (a) and (b) display results from experiments with high and low model bias, respectively

Bias Correction (BC) Techniques

- Analysis increment and innovation statistics are collected over equivalent training period
- Statistics time-averaged and smoothed via Savitzky-Golay filter

We examine 3 additive bias correction techniques:

- Model BC: model-space prior **x**_(i) + analysis increments (A-B)
- Obs BC: obs-space prior h(x) + innovations (O-B)
- Obs BC: obs-space prior *h*(**x**) + residuals (O-A)



Bias Correction (BC) Techniques (cont.)

In addition, we performed experiments in which we correct model bias and obs bias independently:

- First, we use a biased model with unbiased obs assimilated
- Second, we bias correct the model and introduce biased obs
- Third, we maintain the bias corrected model and bias correct the obs using innovation statistics from 2nd experiment



Summary & UFS Applications

- Our candidate technique has demonstrated success in improving forecast accuracy over benchmark experiments
- We continue to refine the methodology within an idealized framework, aiming to replicate known challenges for assimilating satellite radiances
- This method is scalable to NWP systems, relying only on time-averaged analysis increment and innovation statistics
- Next steps: implementation of candidate technique in HAFS DA configuration, in combination with other novel DA methodologies developed at UMD (Poterjoy and Kurosawa 2022; Kurosawa and Poterjoy 2023; Knisely and Poterjoy 2023)





Supplemental Material



VarBC Cost-function

$$J(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_b)^T \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_b)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_b)^T \mathbf{B}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_b)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{y} - \tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta})]^T \mathbf{R}^{-1} [\mathbf{y} - \tilde{h}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta})],$$

Zhu et al. 2014